Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 2 of 17

In the Claims:

1. (Canceled)

- 2. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, wherein the study type is selected from the group consisting of Tennessee Eastman Division Process Hazard Analysis (TEDPHA), Texas PHA (TEXPHA), Maintenance and Operability (MOP) and Distributed Control System (DCS) study types.
- 3. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, wherein the PHA is conducted in order to comply with the Process Safety Management (PSM) standard and the Environmental Protection Agency Risk Management Plan.
- 4. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, wherein the chemical process is evaluated for a Worst Case Credible Consequence hazard scenario.
- 5. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, wherein the study type is a revalidation study of the chemical process.
- 6. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, wherein the study type is an initial study of the chemical process.
- 7. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, further comprising the step of dividing the process into nodes prior to the conducting step.
- 8. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, wherein the conducting step comprises the generation of a risk ranking of the hazard scenario.
- 9. (Original) The method of Claim 8, wherein the generation of a risk ranking comprises the analysis of a risk matrix.

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 3 of 17

- 10. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, further comprising the step of customizing the study type prior to the conducting step.
- 11. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein the customizing step comprises generating a list of questions to evaluate the chemical process.
- 12. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein the customizing step comprises creating a risk matrix to evaluate the chemical process.
- 13. (Original) The method of Claim 12, wherein the risk matrix comprises parameters of consequence severity.
- 14. (Original) The method of Claim 12, wherein the risk matrix comprises parameters of the frequency of occurrence of a consequence.
- 15. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, further comprising the documentation of the hazard scenario prior to the generation of the resolution plan.
- 16. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim [[1]] <u>87</u>, wherein the resolution plan comprises more than one action item.

17-25. (Canceled)

26. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, wherein the selecting means comprises means for selecting the study type from the group consisting of Tennessee Eastman Division Process Hazard Analysis (TEDPHA), Texas PHA (TEXPHA), Maintenance and Operability (MOP) and Distributed Control System (DCS) study types.

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 4 of 17

27. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, wherein the conducting means comprises means for evaluating the chemical process for a Worst Case Credible Consequence hazard scenario.

- 28. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, wherein the selecting means comprises means for selecting a revalidation study of the chemical process.
- 29. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, wherein the selecting means comprises means for selecting an initial study of the chemical process.
- 30. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, wherein the conducting means comprises means for conducting a revalidation study of the chemical process.
- 31. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, wherein the conducting means comprises means for conducting an initial study of the chemical process.
- 32. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, further comprising means for dividing the chemical process into nodes prior to the study being conducted.
- 33. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, the conducting means comprises means for generating a risk ranking of the hazard scenario.
- 34. (Original) The system of Claim 33, wherein the means for generating a risk ranking comprises means for analyzing a risk matrix.
- 35. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, further comprising means for customizing the study type.

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 5 of 17

- 36. (Original) The system of Claim 35, wherein the customizing means comprises means for generating a list of questions to evaluate the chemical process.
- 37. (Original) The system of Claim 35, wherein the customizing means comprises means for creating a risk matrix to evaluate the chemical process.
- 38. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, further comprising means for documenting the hazard scenario.
- 39. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim [[25]] <u>92</u>, wherein the means for generating a resolution plan to the hazard scenario comprises means for generating a resolution plan comprising more than one action item.

40-47. (Canceled)

- 48. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, wherein the computer-readable program code for selecting the study type comprises computer-readable program code for selecting the study type from the group consisting of Tennessee Eastman Division Process Hazard Analysis (TEDPHA), Texas PHA (TEXPHA), Maintenance and Operability (MOP) and Distributed Control Computer program product (DCS) study types.
- 49. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, wherein the computer-readable program code for conducting the study type comprises computer-readable program code for evaluating the chemical process for a Worst Case Credible Consequence hazard scenario.
- 50. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, wherein the computer-readable program code for selecting the study type comprises computer-readable program code for selecting a revalidation study of the chemical process.

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 6 of 17

- 51. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, wherein the computer-readable program code for selecting the study type comprises computer-readable program code for selecting an initial study of the chemical process.
- 52. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, wherein the computer-readable program code for conducting the study type comprises computer-readable program code for conducting a revalidation study of the chemical process.
- 53. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, wherein the computer-readable program code for conducting the study type comprises computer-readable program code for conducting an initial study of the chemical process.
- 54. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, further comprising computer-readable program code for dividing the chemical process into nodes prior to the study being conducted.
- 55. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, computer-readable program code for conducting the selected study type comprises computer-readable program code for generating a risk ranking of the hazard scenario.
- 56. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, wherein the computer-readable program code for generating a risk ranking comprises computer-readable program code for analyzing a risk matrix.
- 57. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, further comprising computer-readable program code for customizing the study type.

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 7 of 17

- 58. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 57, wherein computer-readable program code for customizing the study type comprises computer-readable program code for generating a list of questions to evaluate the chemical process.
- 59. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 57, wherein the computer-readable program code for customizing the study type comprises computer-readable program code for creating a risk matrix to evaluate the chemical process.
- 60. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, further comprising computer-readable program code for documenting the hazard scenario.
- 61. (Currently Amended) The computer program product of Claim [[47]] 97, wherein the computer-readable program code for generating a resolution plan to the hazard scenario comprises computer-readable program code for generating a resolution plan comprising more than one action item.

62-86. (Canceled)

87. (Currently Amended) A method of conducting a process hazard analysis (PHA), comprising the following steps that are performed in a data processing system:

storing information describing a plurality of chemical processes in the data processing system;

storing information describing a plurality of study types in the data processing system;

selecting one of the plurality of <u>a</u> chemical <u>processes</u> to be evaluated; selecting one of the plurality of <u>a</u> study [[types]] <u>type</u> to be performed on the one of the plurality of chemical <u>processes</u> <u>process</u>;

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 8 of 17

conducting the selected study type on the selected chemical process in the data processing system, wherein the chemical process is evaluated [[on]] in the data processing system for the presence of a hazard scenario;

generating a resolution plan to the hazard scenario in the data processing system, wherein the resolution plan comprises a final action item, at least one interim action item to be completed prior to the completion of the final action item and at least one target date for completing an action item; and

tracking the resolution plan in the data processing system, to monitor for completion of action items, wherein the status of the resolution plan is monitored for completion of action items by the target date.

- 88. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 87, further comprising the step of generating at least one report.
- 89. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 88, wherein the report comprises a description of the hazard scenario and the resolution plan.
- 90. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 87, further comprising the step of generating a resolution database after the step of generating the resolution plan.
- 91. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 90, wherein the resolution database comprises one or more parameters selected from the group consisting of the names of persons responsible for carrying out the resolution plan, departments responsible for carrying out the resolution plan, sites at which the resolution plan will be carried out, target dates for completion of the resolution plan, completed action items, and uncompleted action items.
- 92. (Currently Amended) A data processing system for conducting a process hazard analysis, comprising:

means for storing information describing a plurality of chemical processes; means for storing information describing a plurality of study types;

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 9 of 17

means for selecting one of the plurality of a chemical processes process to be evaluated;

means for selecting one of the plurality of a study [[types]] type to be performed on the one of the plurality of chemical processes process;

means for conducting the selected study type on the selected chemical process, wherein the chemical process is evaluated [[on]] in the data processing system for the presence of a hazard scenario;

means for generating a resolution plan to the hazard scenario, wherein the resolution plan comprises a final action item, at least one interim action item to be completed prior to the completion of the final action item and at least one target date for completing an action item; and

means for monitoring the status of the resolution plan for completion of action items by the target date.

- 93. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 92, further comprising means for generating at least one report.
- 94. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 93, wherein the means for generating at least one report comprises means for generating a report comprising a description of the hazard scenario and the resolution plan.
- 95. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 92, further comprising means for generating a resolution database.
- 96. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 95, wherein the means for generating a resolution database comprise means for generating a resolution database comprising one or more parameters selected from the group consisting of the names of persons responsible for carrying out the resolution plan, departments responsible for carrying out the resolution plan, sites at which the resolution plan will be carried out, target dates for completion of the resolution plan, completed action items, and uncompleted action items.

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 10 of 17

97. (Currently Amended) A computer program product for conducting a process hazard analysis, the computer program product comprising a computer-readable storage medium having computer-readable program code embodied in the medium, the computer-readable program code comprising:

computer-readable program code for storing information describing a plurality of chemical processes;

computer readable program code for storing information describing a plurality of study types;

computer-readable program code for selecting one of the plurality of \underline{a} chemical processes process to be evaluated;

computer-readable program code for selecting one of the plurality of a study [[types]] to be performed on the one of the plurality of chemical processes process;

computer-readable program code for conducting the selected study type on the chemical process, wherein the chemical process is evaluated for the presence of a hazard scenario;

computer-readable program code for generating a resolution plan to the hazard scenario, wherein the resolution plan comprises a final action item, at least one interim action item to be completed prior to the completion of the final action item, and at least one target date for completing action item; and

computer-readable program code for monitoring the status of the resolution plan for completion of action items.

- 98. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of Claim 97, further comprising computer-readable program code for generating at least one report.
- 99. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of Claim 98, wherein the computer-readable program code for generating at least one report comprises computer-readable program code for generating a report comprising a description of the hazard scenario and the resolution plan.

Serial No.: 09/670,214 Filed: September 25, 2000

Page 11 of 17

100. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of Claim 97, further comprising computer-readable program code for generating a resolution database.

101. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of Claim 100, wherein the computer-readable program code for generating a resolution database comprise computer-readable program code for generating a resolution database comprising one or more of parameters selected from the group consisting of the names of persons responsible for carrying out the resolution plan, departments responsible for carrying out the resolution plan, sites at which the resolution plan will be carried out, target dates for completion of the resolution plan, completed action items, and uncompleted action items.